

Health Technology Assessment of the Nanogen® Molecular Biology Workstation and Pyrosequencing™ PSQMA System



Helen White

National Genetics Reference Laboratory (Wessex) Salisbury District Hospital

## **Nanogen® Molecular Biology Workstation**

#### October 2002 – August 2003



Automated multi-purpose instrument which uses the NanoChip® Electronic Microarray for:

- SNP detection
- STR analysis
- gene expression
- unknown mutation screening

## 3 major subsystems

#### NanoChip® Loader:

96 patient samples loaded onto 1 - 4 NanoChip® Cartridges

Computer hardware and software: automates import, analysis and export of data

#### NanoChip® Reader:

laser-based fluorescence scanner for detection of assay results



#### NanoChip® Electronic Microarray Structure: Microelectrode and Permeation Layer

#### Charged molecules placed at specific test sites on a NanoChip® microarray:



Connections (platinum wires)



NanoChip\* Cartridge



#### NanoChip® Electronic Microarray Structure:



## **Electronic Addressing**



- One or more sites is electronically activated
- The sample is electronically guided to the test site(s)
- The biotinylated sample then binds to the streptavidin
- The pH at each test site is controlled electrochemically allowing for binding at only specified sites.

#### Effect of Histidine Buffer On Hybridization

#### Positive charge is applied:

Water is oxidized into oxygen gas and H+ ions at the microelectrode surface

Neutral histidine becomes Histidine+

The Histidine + neutralizes the negatively charged phosphate backbone of the DNA, allowing hybridization to occur.



## How does SNP Detection Work?



#### Examples of formats for data output



	Results for factorV (27 scan, 1024, low)								
Sample	Red	Green	Pads	Ratio (R::G)	<b>Probe Designation</b>				
1	187.56	218	1	1 :: 1.16	snp/wt				
32	407.86	402	1	1.01 :: 1	snp/wt				
34	407.86	398	1	1.02 :: 1	snp/wt				
36	392.98	377	1	1.04 :: 1	snp/wt				
52	5.95	416	1	1 :: 69.87	wt/wt				
55	11.91	479	1	1 :: 40.22	wt/wt				
58	26.79	727	1	1 :: 27.13	wt/wt				
60	14.89	628	1	1 :: 42.19	wt/wt				
61	547.79	15	1	36.52 :: 1	snp/snp				
62	666.87	18	1	37.05 :: 1	snp/snp				
Het Cont	390	390	1	1 :: 1	snp/wt				
NT	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A				

- 140	resce	nce Sc	an Res	ults:						
-r	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	$\frac{161}{319}$	273	349 214	382 178	497	25 494	20 490	21 481	17 478	15 399
2	19	22 042	(400 32	27 285	18	47 552	22 307	594 594	33 496	22 444
э	37 461	120	75 583	49	146 611	94 587	95 549	104	83 527	65 549
4	102 520	104	95 536	03 461	(481) 59	121 542	$\frac{71}{510}$	21 167	107	18
5	149 520	111 525	103 419	109 506	94 484	111 552	111 559	106	17 220	<u>57</u> 565
6	62 405	35	66 603	$\frac{96}{197}$	(498) 71	91 488	(77 545)	411 37	457 38	121
7	101 123	208	244 102	572 64	31 17	15	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-			-	-		_	-	
10	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-



## Pyrosequencing<sup>™</sup> PSQMA System

May 2003 – ongoing



Real time sequencing method for the analysis of short to medium length DNA sequences. Can be used for many applications including:

- > SNP analysis
- Allele frequency quantification
- STR analysis
- CpG methylation analysis
- Gene dosage
- Microbiological typing







#### **Evaluation of SNP detection**

## > 90 DNA samples were genotyped using triplex PCR assays for Factor V Leiden G1691, Prothrombin G20210A and MTHFR C677T.

Samples had been previously genotyped using PCR RFLP analysis by Molecular Pathology Unit at Southampton General Hospital

# > 50 DNA samples were genotyped for six mitochondrial mutations and the degree of heteroplasmy was also determined.

Samples had been previously genotyped using PCR RFLP analysis by Oxford Medical Genetics Laboratory

## **SNP Detection for FV / FII / MTHFR**

#### 90 DNA samples were genotyped using triplex PCR assays

•
e

## **Detection and estimation of heteroplasmy**



Pyrosequencing is less expensive and more efficient than the Nanogen System:

- system costs 36% less expensive
- > analysis costs 58% less expensive
- ➢ total run time - 67% faster

#### **Conclusions for SNP detection**

#### **SNP** Detection

- Both technologies accurate for SNP genotyping
- > Pyrosequencer faster and cheaper
- > Pyrosequencer had lower failure rate

#### **Detection and estimation of Heteroplasmy**

- > Both technologies provided accurate genotyping
- > Pyrosequencing more accurate at estimating heteroplasmy
- > Pyrosequencer more efficient and economical

Detection of trisomy 13, 18 & 21 and sex chromosome aneuploidy for prenatal diagnosis using Pyrosequencing<sup>™</sup> technology

In collaboration with Prof Antonarakis and Sam Deutsch (University of Geneva)



## Existing fast screening techniques for detection of aneuploidy

#### Interphase fluorescent in situ hybridisation (FISH)

- labour intensive
- 50 100 interphases need to be scored

#### **Quantitative fluorescent PCR (QF-PCR)**

- relies on amplification of polymorphic microsatellite repeats
- less expensive than FISH
- many samples can be treated in parallel
- Multiple markers need to be analysed to ensure that at least 2 informative markers can be analysed for each individual
- Requires optimisation of multiplex PCRs

#### **Multiplex Ligation probe amplification (MLPA)**

- simultaneous analysis of up to 40 loci
- 8 probes per chromosome needed for reliable results
- Still under evaluation

## Detection of aneuploidy using paralogous gene quantification by Pyrosequencing<sup>™</sup>

- Paralogous sequences are located on different chromosomes
- High sequence identity but will accumulate sequence differences over time
- PCR primers are designed to co-amplify paralogous sequences located on different chromosomes
- PCR products (of identical size) will contain a number of sequence differences
- Known as paralogous sequence mismatches (PSM)
- Quantification of PSMs can be used to determine the dosage of chromosomes in which the paralogous sequences are located

## Paralogous gene quantification



The ratio of the SNPs reflects the relative frequency of the chromosomes tested.

## **Initial Analysis of trisomy 21 assays**

#### Data from 103 normal controls and 73 Trisomy 21 samples









## **Combined data from three trisomy 21 assays**



Distribution of % Chr 21 using Combined data for three assays

## Mathematical model to assign % risk based on AQ frequency

 To minimise the impact of inter assay variation a mathematical model has been devised which assigns a probability of the patient sample being trisomic based on the % AQ frequency

• At least 10 normal and 10 known trisomy controls are run per batch of tests

The mean and standard deviation of the two populations are normalised and used to determine a probability of trisomy given a pre-screen risk of 0.5

The AQ percentage value for each assay is entered and the % risk is calculated.



#### **Trisomy 21 Evaluation**

Data from 103 normal controls and 73 Trisomy 21 samples



## Paralogous gene quantification for prenatal diagnosis of aneuploidy - Conclusions

- Results from analysis of trisomy 21 assays are promising
- Assays are robust, easy to set up and interpret
- Use of the mathematical model alleviates problems of inter assay variation and standardises interpretation of data
- Triploidy, rare chromosomal abnormalities and other structural abnormalities will not be detected
- Continuing analysis for detection of trisomy 13, 18 and sex chromosome aneuploidy using 440 known aneuploid samples (tissue / peripheral blood/ amniotic cultures) and 300+ amniotic fluid samples collected prospectively from diagnostic prenatal lab
- Mathematical model will be extended to included all data and tested for robustness
- Should represent an competetive alternative to other techniques for use in routine diagnostic laboratories

#### Acknowledgements

Vicky Durston, NGRL (Wessex) Gemma Potts, NGRL (Wessex) Wessex Regional Genetics DNA Laboratory (WRGL) Dr John Harvey, WRGL / NGRL Prof Nick Cross, WRGL / NGRL Monica Petterson, Biotage AB







FV/FII/MTHFR and Mitochondrial Heteroplasmy Louise Lavender, Molecular Pathology Unit, Southampton Anneke Seller & Carl Fratter, Oxford Medical Genetics

Pre Natal Aneuploidy Diagnosis Prof Stylianos E Antonarakis & Samuel Deutsch, University of Geneva Paul Strike, Research and Development Support Unit, SDH