



Automated DNA Extraction

CJ Mattocks, NC Owen, JF Harvey, NCP Cross

Salisbury Health Care 
NHS Trust

Automated Extraction Survey

18 respondents

- Current extraction
 - Only 4 labs happy with current extraction
 - 1 automated (Reasonably happy)
 - 1 PCR direct from blood
 - 2 difficult to justify cost

Automated Extraction Survey

■ Expectations

- Speed (bench time) [41]
- DNA quality (consistency between labs) [39]
- Reduce failure rate [38]
- Sample tracking [37]
- Cost of extraction [31]

Reduction in bench time

Automated Extraction Survey

- Expected throughput
 - 3 labs <10
 - 15 labs 10-100 (~20 where detailed)
- Batch size
 - 14 labs 12 per batch
 - 4 labs 48 per batch (+2 depending on requirements)
- Storage
 - All labs preferred tubes

Automated Extraction Survey

- Sample types
 - Majority of samples EDTA blood
 - 1 lab significant proportion of Lihep
 - 2 labs significant proportion of mouth brush/wash
 - 1 lab significant proportion of tissue
 - 2 labs significant proportion amniotic fluid
 - 1 lab significant proportion of cell culture

Major factor for appropriate automation

Automated Extraction Survey

■ Required Yield

- <5ug DNA sufficient for 35% samples
- 5-100ug DNA sufficient for 38% samples
- 27% samples require >100ug DNA
- Labs polarised

No consensus

Automated Extraction Survey

- Would you consider using a low volume extraction protocol (200-1000ul blood, yield $\leq 30\mu\text{g}$ DNA)?

78%

- Assuming the whole process was totally automated, would it be acceptable to mix multiple extractions from a single sample in situations where a large quantity of DNA is required?

61%

Automated Extraction Survey

- Key factors
 - Reduce bench time
 - Throughputs not particularly high
 - Local variation in sample type
 - No consensus on yield requirements
 - Discussion required regarding acceptable practice